
Image Registration

Lecture 21: Hierarchical Model-Based Motion Estimation

Prof. Charlene Tsai

Why Multi-Resolution

- There are several ways for constructing image pyramid
 - Laplacian pyramid
 - Gaussian pyramid
 - Reasons for doing multi-resolution
 - For efficiency
 - For robustness
-

Categories of Motion Models

- Parametric (global)
 - Affine
 - Projective
- Non-parametric (local)
 - Effective over small blocks of pixels
 - Often need some type of a smoothness or uniformity constraint
- Quasi-parametric (obsolete)
 - Between full- and non-parametric

Brightness Constancy Constraint

- Assumption: Projection of a point in the world will produce the same intensity value in each image of the motion sequence.
- This implies:

$$I(x, y, t) = I(x + \delta x, y + \delta y, t + \delta t)$$

- Assuming the I is differentiable. Taking the 1st order Taylor expansion of the RHS, we get

$$I(x, y, t) = I(x, y, t) + \delta x \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} + \delta y \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} + \delta t \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} + h.o.t$$

(cont)

- Neglecting h.o.t., we get

$$\frac{dx}{dt} \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} + \frac{dy}{dt} \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = 0$$

- Setting $\vec{u} = (dx/dt, dy/dt)^T$ we get

$$\vec{u} \bullet \nabla I + I_t = 0 \quad (\text{Optical flow constraint eqn})$$

velocity ↗ ↖ $\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}$

- One equation two unknowns => under-constrained (aperture problem)

Optical Flow Field (local)

- Assuming \vec{u} to be locally constant, approximate \vec{u} at a single location \vec{x}_0 from the neighborhood, i.e. least-squares formulation

$$E(\vec{u}) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in N(\vec{x}_0)} (\vec{u} \bullet \nabla I + I_t)^2 \quad \text{Eqn\#1}$$

- For better robustness, we can use weighted-least-squares instead

$$E(\vec{u}) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in N(\vec{x}_0)} w(\vec{x}) (\vec{u} \bullet \nabla I + I_t)^2$$

Affine Motion Model (global)

- Using affine motion model (higher order), we're able to describe motion of a larger region R:

$$\vec{u} = A\vec{x} + \vec{t} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} t_x \\ t_y \end{pmatrix} = X(\vec{x})\vec{a}$$

where $X(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x & y & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$\vec{a} = [a_1 \quad a_2 \quad a_3 \quad a_4 \quad t_x \quad t_y]^T$$

(cont)

- Replacing \vec{u} with $X\vec{a}$, and plug into equn#1 we get

$$E(\vec{a}) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in R} (\nabla I^T X\vec{a} + I_t)^2$$

- The solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E}{\partial \vec{a}} &= 2 \sum_{\vec{x} \in R} (\nabla I^T X\vec{a} + I_t) (X^T \nabla I) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\vec{x} \in R} (X^T (\nabla I) (\nabla I)^T X\vec{a} + X^T (\nabla I) I_t) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(cont)

$$\vec{a} = - \left(\sum_{\vec{x} \in R} X^T (\nabla I) (\nabla I)^T X \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{\vec{x} \in R} X^T (\nabla I) I_t \right)$$

- This is not a close form!
- Why?

Overall Algorithm for Motion Estimation

- Step1: Building Laplacian pyramid
- Step2: For each resolution, starting at coarsest
 - Apply current motion to $I_{(t-\Delta t)}$ (deforming image) to get $I'_{(t-\Delta t)}$
 - Estimate new affine motion between $I_{(t)}$ and $I'_{(t-\Delta t)}$
 - Compose new affine estimate with current estimate
 - Iterate until converge.