

# **Software Quality Engineering:**

## Testing, Quality Assurance, and Quantifiable Improvement

Jeff Tian, [tian@engr.smu.edu](mailto:tian@engr.smu.edu)  
[www.engr.smu.edu/~tian/SQEbook](http://www.engr.smu.edu/~tian/SQEbook)

### **Chapter 12. Testing Techniques: Adaptation, Specialization, and Integration**

- Adaptation to Test Sub-phases
- Specialized Testing Techniques
- Integration and Web Testing Case Study

## Applications of Testing Techniques

---

- Major testing techniques covered so far:
  - ▷ Ad hoc (non-systematic) testing.
  - ▷ Checklist-based testing.
  - ▷ Partition-based coverage testing.
  - ▷ Musa's OP for UBST.
  - ▷ Boundary testing (BT).
  - ▷ FSM-based coverage testing.
  - ▷ Markov chains and UMMs for UBST.
  - ▷ Control flow testing (CFT).
  - ▷ Data flow testing (DFT).
  
- Application and adaptation issues:
  - ▷ For different purposes/goals.
  - ▷ In different environments/sub-phases.
  - ▷ Existing techniques: select/adapt.
  - ▷ May need new or specialized techniques.

---

## Testing Sub-Phases

---

- Annotated V-model for testing sub-phases:  
Fig 12.1 (p.204)
  
- Original sub-phases in V-model:
  - ▷ Operational use (not testing, strictly).
  - ▷ System test for product specification.
  - ▷ Integration test for high-level design.
  - ▷ Component test for low-level design.
  - ▷ Unit test for program code.
  
- Additional sub-phases/specialized testing:
  - ▷ Diagnosis test through all sub-phases.
  - ▷ Beta test for limited product release.
  - ▷ Acceptance test for product release.
  - ▷ Regression test for legacy products.

---

## Unit Testing

---

- Key characteristics:
  - ▷ Object: unit (implemented code)
    - function/procedure/subroutine in C, FORTRAN, etc.
    - method in OO languages
  - ▷ Implementation detail  $\Rightarrow$  WBT.  
(BBT could be used, but less often.)
  - ▷ Exit: coverage (reliability undefined).
  
- Commonly used testing techniques:
  - ▷ Ad hoc testing.
  - ▷ Informal debugging.
  - ▷ Input domain partition testing and BT.
  - ▷ CFT and DFT.

---

## Component Testing

---

- Key characteristics:
  - ▷ Object: component ( $\supset$  unit), 2 types.
  - ▷ I. collection of units in C/FORTRAN/etc.
    - implementation detail  $\Rightarrow$  WBT.
  - ▷ II. class in OO languages
    - reusable component  $\Rightarrow$  BBT.
  - ▷ Exit: coverage (sometimes reliability).
  
- Commonly used testing techniques:
  - ▷ for traditional systems (component I)
    - $\approx$  unit testing, but at larger scale
  - ▷ for OOS/COTS/CBSE (component II)
    - $\approx$  system testing, but at smaller scale
    - see system testing techniques later

---

## Integration Testing

---

- Key characteristics:
  - ▷ Object: interface and interaction among multiple components or subsystems.
  - ▷ Component as a black-box (assumed).
  - ▷ System as a white-box (focus).
  - ▷ Exit: coverage (sometimes reliability).
  
- Commonly used testing techniques:
  - ▷ FSM-based coverage testing.
  - ▷ Other techniques may also be used.
  - ▷ Sometimes treated as  $\subset$  system testing  
⇒ see system testing techniques below.

---

## System Testing

---

- Key characteristics:
  - ▷ Object: whole system and the overall operations, typically from a customer's perspective.
  - ▷ No implementation detail ⇒ BBT.
  - ▷ Customer perspective ⇒ UBST.
  - ▷ Exit: reliability (sometimes coverage).
  
- Commonly used testing techniques:
  - ▷ UBST with Musa or Markov OPs.
  - ▷ High-level functional checklists.
  - ▷ High-level FSM, possibly CFT & DFT.
  - ▷ Special case: as part of a “super”-system in embedded environment  
⇒ test interaction with environment.

---

## Acceptance Testing

---

- Key characteristics:
  - ▷ Object: whole system.
    - but defect fixing no longer allowed.
  - ▷ Customer acceptance in the market.
  - ▷ Exit: reliability.
  
- Commonly used testing techniques:
  - ▷ Repeated random sampling without defect fixing.
    - ( $\approx$  assumption for IDRMs, Ch.22.)
  - ▷ UBST with Musa or Markov OPs.
  - ▷ External testing services/organizations may be used for system “certification”.



---

## Beta Testing

---

- Key characteristics:
  - ▷ Object: whole system
  - ▷ Normal usage by customers.
  - ▷ Exit: reliability.
  
- Commonly used testing techniques:
  - ▷ Normal usage.
  - ▷ Ad hoc testing by customers.  
(trying out different functions/features)
  - ▷ Diagnosis testing by testers/developers  
to fix problems observed by customers.

## Testing Sub-Phases: Summary

---

- Summary: Table 12.1 (p.209)
  
- Key characteristics for comparison:
  - ▷ Object and perspectives.
  - ▷ Exit criteria.
  - ▷ Who is performing the test.
  - ▷ Major types of specific techniques.
  
- “Who” question not covered earlier:
  - ▷ Dual role of programmers as testers in unit testing and component testing I.
  - ▷ Customers as testers in beta testing.
  - ▷ Professional testers in other sub-phases.
  - ▷ Possible 3rd party (IV&V) to test reusable components & system acceptance.

---

## Specialized Testing

---

- Specialized testing tasks:
  - ▷ Some do not fit into specific sub-phases.
  - ▷ Different goals (other than reliability).
  - ▷ Non-standard application environment.
  
- Our coverage:
  - ▷ Defect diagnosis testing.
  - ▷ Defect-based testing.
  - ▷ Regression testing.
  - ▷ Testing beyond programs.
  - ▷ Testing for other goals/objectives.

## Defect Diagnosis Testing

---

- Context of defect diagnosis testing:
  - ▷ In followup to discovered problems by customers or during testing.
  - ▷ Pre-test: understand/recreate problems.
  - ▷ Test result: faults located.
  - ▷ Followup with fault removal and re-run/re-test to confirm defect fixing.
  
- Defect diagnosis testing:
  - ▷ Typically involve multiple related runs.
  - ▷ Problem recreation as the starting point.
  - ▷ Perturbation and observation.
  - ▷ Domain knowledge important.
  - ▷ More recorded defect information  
⇒ less reliance on defect diagnosis.
  - ▷ Defect-based techniques (below) useful.

## Defect-Based Testing

---

- General idea and generic techniques:
  - ▷ Focus: discovered or potential defects (and related areas).
  - ▷ Ad hoc testing based on defect guesses.
  - ▷ Risk identification  $\Rightarrow$  risk-based testing. (Part IV, esp. Ch.21)
  - ▷ Defect injection and mutation testing.
  
- Defect injection and testing:
  - ▷ Inject known defect (seed known fault).
  - ▷ Test for both seeded and ingenuous faults.
  - ▷ Missed faults  $\Rightarrow$  testing technique $\uparrow$ .
  - ▷ Also used in reliability modeling.
  
- Mutation testing  $\approx$  defect injection testing, but systematic mutants used.

## Regression Testing

---

- Context of regression testing:
  - ▷ In software maintenance and support:
    - ensure change  $\nrightarrow$  negative impact.
  - ▷ In legacy software systems:
    - ensure quality of remaining functions,
    - during development/product update,
    - new part  $\approx$  new development,
    - focus: integration sub-phase & after.
  - ▷ Re-test to verify defect fixing as well as no unintended consequences.
  
- Regression testing techniques:
  - ▷ Specialized analysis of change:  $\Delta$ -analysis.
  - ▷ Focused testing on (new)  $\Delta$ -part.
  - ▷ Integration of old and new.

---

## Other Specialized Testing

---

- Testing beyond programs:
  - ▷ Embedded and heterogeneous systems:
    - test interactions with surroundings.
  - ▷ Web testing, in case study later.
  
- Testing to achieve other goals:
  - ▷ Performance testing;
  - ▷ Stress testing;
  - ▷ Usability testing, etc.
  
- Dynamic analysis and related techniques:
  - ▷ Simulation to reduce overall cost.
  - ▷ Prototyping, particularly in early phases.
  - ▷ Timing and sequencing analysis.
  - ▷ Event-tree analysis (ETA), Chapter 16.

---

## Test Integration

---

- General idea:
  - ▷ Many activities and tasks.
  - ▷ Different techniques.
  - ▷ Individual advantages and limitations.
  - ▷ Much commonality exists.
  - ▷ Possibility of integration?
  
- Test integration: Advantages
  - ▷ combined strength  $\Rightarrow$  benefit $\uparrow$ .
  - ▷ common elements  $\Rightarrow$  cost $\downarrow$ .
  - ▷ flexibility $\uparrow$ .



---

## Hierarchical Web Testing

---

- Case study from Chapter 10 continued:
  - ▷ Web navigation modeled by FSMs.
  - ▷ UBST using UMMs to overcome state explosion problem of FSMs.
  - ▷ Guiding existing web testing.  
(they typically focus on a small unit/facet)
  - ▷ Lack of structure for overall hits  
⇒ use of simplified OPs (Musa OPs)
  
- Overall approach:
  - ▷ Top-tier: flat (Musa) OP.
  - ▷ Middle-tier: UMMs.
  - ▷ Bottom-tier: existing web testing.

---

## Existing Web Testing

---

- Web functionality testing:
  - ▷ Focus on the web components identified in Ch.10.
  - ▷ HTML syntax checking via various tools.
  - ▷ Link checking.
  - ▷ Form testing.
  - ▷ Verification of end-to-end transactions.
  - ▷ Java and other program testing.
  
- Beyond web functionality testing:
  - ▷ Load testing.
  - ▷ Usability testing.
  - ▷ Browse rendering.

---

## Web Testing (from Ch.10)

---

- Testing web navigations:
  - ▷ FSM-based testing in Chapter 10.
  - ▷ Web crawling via robots.
  
- UMMs for web testing (Chapter 10).
  - ▷ Availability/usage of web logs.
  - ▷ Some observations:
    - skewed top hit pages and x-references
    - the impact of structural hierarchy

## Hierarchical Web Testing

---

- Overall approach:
  - ▷ Top-tier: flat (Musa) OP
    - for simplicity and skewed distribution.
  - ▷ Middle-tier: UMMs
    - importance of highly used navigations.
  - ▷ Bottom-tier: existing web testing
    - no need to re-invent wheels
  
- Implementation: Fig 12.2 (p.218)
  - ▷ TAR (top access report) ⇒ top-tier
  - ▷ CPR (call-pair report) to form clusters  
⇒ middle tier UMMs.
  - ▷ UMM refinement ⇒ bottom-tier.